

OK to enter

Peter Bertheaud
8/1/07

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUL 16 2007

Attorney Docket No. 20061238.ORI

Client Docket No. CFP-1744~1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re App :	Scott Wu	:	Examiner: Bertheaud, Peter John
Serial No. :	10/775,499	:	Art Unit: 3746
Filed :	February 9, 2004	:	Confirmation No.: 2314
For :	Pump with Gauge		

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING
TRANSMITTED BY FACSIMILE AND IS ADDRESSED
TO COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450,
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON JULY 16, 2007 (37
CFR 1.8(a)) VIA FACSIMILE NO. 571-273-8300.

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 16, 2007, the undersigned wishes to make the following comments:

REMARKS

A couple of attempts to contact the Examiner by telephone have been made, with the latest occurring on July 3, 2007, when applicant called and left a message to request an interview. At the present time, none of the calls have been returned and an interview time has not been confirmed.

As presented in the Office Action dated March 16, 2007, the Examiner acknowledged that the Wu '264 patent "does not show a first joint put in the cylinder or that the cylinder defines two apertures in communication with the transverse channel of the first joint". The Examiner also acknowledges that the Wu '264 patent "fails to disclose that the first joint is made independent of the base."

The Wu '264 patent also shows the gauge as a part of the nozzle set and that the nozzle set is in series with and carried by the gauge. Therefore, when the nozzle set is pivoted, the gauge would have to pivot also. Thus, the Wu '264 patent does not teach a joint passing through